Case 1:07-cv-09186-AKH	Document 1	Filed 10/05/2007	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	K		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
MICHAEL SHAFFER AND MARIA SHAFFER	t	DOCKET NO.	
	ntiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHO COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE MASTER COMPLA	
- against -		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN	MAND A TRIAL BY
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		JURY	
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defe	endants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alvi 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master Co			_
	NOTICE OF	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in the instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth the Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These and specific case information is set forth,	erein in addition e are marked wi	n to those paragraphs a tith an '☑" if applicable	specific to the individual
Plaintiffs, MICHAEL SHAFFER GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BER			_
	I PARTI	IFS	

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1.			SHAFFER (hereinafter the 2155 Paulding Avenue, Apt.	e "Injured Plaintiff"), is an indivi	dua
and a citi	zen or r	New Tork residing at 2	(OR)	#33, BIOIIX, N 1 10402	
2.	. A	lternatively, □	is the	of Decedent	
		, and brings this clai	im in his (her) capacity as of	the Estate of	. •

Ca	se 1:07-cv-09186-AKH Document	t 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 2 of 11	
3. York residing to the Injured	at 2155 Paulding Avenue, Apt. #5J, Br Plaintiff: ✓ SPOUSE at all relevant times I MICHAEL SHAFFER, and by the injuries sustained by her hu	er the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New ronx, NY 10462-, and has the following relationship herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff rings this derivative action for her (his) loss due to asband (his wife), Plaintiff MICHAEL SHAFFER. Other:	
	of Transportation as a Assistant Highway		
1	Please be as specific as possible when fi	lling in the following dates and locations	
Location(s) (a From on or al	d Trade Center Site i.e., building, quadrant, etc.) bout _9/18/2001_ until _1/15/2002_; ly _12_ hours per day; for	The Barge From on or about; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.	
	ly <u>10</u> days total.	Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at	
☐ The New York City Medical Examiner's Office From on or about until, Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.		Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:	
☐ The Fresh From on or al Approximate Approximate	Kills Landfill bout; ly hours per day; for ly days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:	
	*	pper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.	
5.	Injured Plaintiff		
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated	
	✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all	
	Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at	
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.		

6.

 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 4 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdraw by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and	Injured	l Plaintiff
 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 4 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdraw by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 4 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any 	V	§405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49
 by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. □ Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 4 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any 		405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49
405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 4 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any		, , ,
		405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 6/20/07 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
9 ———— , ,	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h ✓ More than thirty days have passed and	HAULING, LLC, INC.
	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR) ☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	CORPORATION
11	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of Claim Nunc Pro Tunc) has been filed and a	INC.
determination	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
	CORP
is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
✓ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS, P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	✓ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ C.D. CONTRACTING CORP
York on 6/20/07	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	✓ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
Ithe PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, ELC □ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	\square EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
□ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC □ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
L / WORLD TRADE COMPANT, L.F.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ RODAR ENTERPRISES, INC.

✓ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

✓ WSP CANTOR SEINUK GROUP ☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ OTHER:

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	Business/Service Address:
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	<u> </u>
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-09186-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	bunded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specification Act of 2001, (or); Federal Officers: Contested, by all jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	Jurisdi ut the U.S.C	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has C. § 1441.
of lial			d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-09186-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

	Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
V	Respiratory Injury: Cough; Respiratory Problems; Rhinitis; Shortness of Breath; Sinus Problems Date of onset: 11/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	ightharpoons	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 1/1/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
V	Digestive Injury: GERD; Stomach Problems, Including, but not limited to, Nausea and/or Acid Reflux Date of onset: 1/1/2007 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	▼	Other Injury: Chronic Headaches; Headaches, Dizziness, Migraines; Sleeping Problems Date of onset: 11/1/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

==== √	Pain and suffering	✓ Other: Not yet determined.
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life	
✓	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity	
✓	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation	
V	Other: ☑ Mental anguish ☑ Disability ☑ Medical monitoring	

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York September 27, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Michael Shaffer and Maria Shaffer

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway

12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York September 27, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No:	ADVITOR OF A TIPE DIGITAL COLUMN
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	MICHAEL SHAFFER (AND WIFE, MARIA SHAFFER),
	Plaintiff(s)
	- against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
=======	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.
	Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
PLEASI	E TAKE NOTICE:
ti d <u>NOTI</u> ti w ju w	hat the within is a (certified) true copy of an uly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20 CE OF SETTLEMENT